

United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/526,463	03/16/	2000	Atsushi Tanaka	862.C1861	2570
5514	7590	02/13/2004		EXAMINER	
	ICK CELLA FELLER PLAZ	HARPER & S	SHANKAR, VIJAY		
	K, NY 10112		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE		
				2673	0
				DATE MAILED: 02/13/2004	13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
*	•						
	Office Action Summary	09/526,463	TANAKA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	The MANUALO DATE AND	VIJAY SHANKAR	2673				
Period fe	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence address				
THE - Exte afte - If th - If NO - Failt Any	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Se	eptember 2003.					
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)[· <u> </u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) \square objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		· •				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
		aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
`	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	a.				
Attachmen	• •	_					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔀 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 11.		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2673

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1.1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lieu (5,164,585) in view of Kai et al (5,606,346).

Regarding Claims 1,6,11, Lieu teaches a coordinate input device (figs.1-3) for generating a beam spot by irradiating a predetermined position of a coordinate input surface (1 in fig.2) with light coming from a pointing tool (30 in fig.3; col.2, lines 22-35), and generating a coordinate value corresponding to the beam spot (figs.1-3), comprising: a plurality of sensing means (61,62 in fig.1; col.3, lines 2-19), arranged for at least one coordinate axis, for sensing the beam spot (fig.1-3; col.3, lines 2-19); and output means for outputting a coordinate value corresponding to the beam spot on the basis of the sensing result selected by the selection means, wherein light-receiving areas of the plurality of sensing means have an overlapping portion (summary; fig.1-3;col. 2, lines 15-68; col.3, lines 2-19).

Art Unit: 2673

However, Lieu does not teach measurement means for measuring peak levels of data sensed by the plurality of sensing means; comparison means for comparing the peak levels measured by the measurement means; selection means for selecting a sensing result of one of the plurality of sensing means on the basis of a comparison result of the comparison means.

Kai et al teaches the coordinate input device comprising measurement means for measuring peak levels of data sensed by the plurality of sensing means (fig.11, 13,16, 23, 29; col. 9, line 51- col.10, line 30; col.11, line 5- col. 12, line 67; col.16, line 49- col.17, line 13; col. 19, line 55- col.20, line12); comparison means for comparing the peak levels measured by the measurement means (fig.11; col. 9, line 51- col.10, line 30; col.11, line 5- col. 12, line 67; col.16, line 49- col.17, line 13; col. 19, line 55- col.20, line12); selection means for selecting a sensing result of one of the plurality of sensing means on the basis of a comparison result of the comparison means (fig.11, 13,16, 23, 29; col. 9, line 51- col.10, line 30; col.11, line 5-col. 12, line 67; col.16, line 49- col.17, line 13; col. 19, line 55- col.20, line12).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to incorporate the teachings of Kai et al into Lieu for reducing the amount of noise.

Art Unit: 2673

Regarding Claims 2,7, Lieu teaches that each of the plurality of sensing means has a linear array of a plurality of photoelectric conversion elements (fig.1; col. 3, lines 3-19).

Regarding Claims 3-4,8-9, Lieu teaches that output means comprises computation means for computing the coordinate value corresponding to the beam spot at resolving power not less than the number of pixels corresponding to the plurality of photoelectric conversion elements; and the output means comprises storage means for storing a reference coordinate value in the overlapping portion, and the output means outputs the coordinate value corresponding to the beam spot using the reference coordinate value (figs.1-3; col.2, lines 15-68); col.3, lines 1-19).

Regarding Claims 5,10, Lieu teaches the overlapping portion is defined by light-receiving areas of neighboring ones of the plurality of sensing means (figs.1-3).

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2673

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2673

Page 6

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VIJAY SHANKAR whose telephone number is 703-305-4763. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, BIPIN SHALWALA can be reached on 703-305-4938. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> VIJAY SHANKAR Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2673